2	
3	
4	
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
LONNIE DAWSON,	Case No. 1:19-cv-00293-JDP
Petitioner,	ORDER TRANSFERRING THE CASE TO THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST
v.	VIRGINIA
PARR,	ECF No. 1
Respondent.	
Petitioner Lonnie Dawson, a federal prisoner proceeding without counsel, seeks a writ of	
habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. ECF No. 1. Petitioner was convicted and sentenced	
in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Petitioner was	
formerly incarcerated in this district. On September 16, 2019, petitioner gave notice to this court	
21 that he was transferred to the Federal Correctional Institute in Beckley, West Virginia.	
22 ECF No. 7.	
Jurisdiction in a § 2241 petition is proper in the district that has jurisdiction over the	
petitioner's custodian. See Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S. 484, 495 (1973);	
25 Harrison v. Ollison, 519 F.3d 952, 956 (9th Cir. 2008); Brittingham v. United States, 982 F.2d	
26 378, 379 (9th Cir. 1992) (per curiam) (the proper district court for a § 2241 petition is the one	
projection (sur our respectively (and proj	1
	FOR THE EASTERN II LONNIE DAWSON, Petitioner, v. PARR, Respondent. Petitioner Lonnie Dawson, a federal proposed former by the second part of the East formerly incarcerated in this district. On September 1 of the East formerly incarcerated in the Federal Correction of the ECF No. 7. Jurisdiction in a § 2241 petition is proposed formerly incarcerated in the Federal Correction of the Federal Correctio

1 filed in the wrong district, the court may transfer the petition to the proper district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1631 "if it is in the interest of justice." See Miller v. Hambrick, 905 F.2d 259, 262 2 3 (9th Cir. 1990). Here, petitioner has filed his initial petition and an application to proceed in forma 4 5 pauperis. This court has not yet screened the petition, ordered the defendant to respond, or issued 6 any substantive orders or opinions. This court has no jurisdiction over petitioner's current 7 custodian in West Virginia. Considering the early stage of this case and the long distance 8 between this court and petitioner's place of confinement, I find it is in the interests of justice to 9 transfer this case to the Southern District of West Virginia. 10 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper 11 court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action 12 will be transferred to Southern District of West Virginia. 13 Order 14 Accordingly, 15 1. The case is transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern District 16 of West Virginia. 2. All future filings shall refer to the new case number assigned and shall be filed at: 17 18 **United States District Court** 19 Southern District of West Virginia Robert C. Byrd United States Courthouse 20 300 Virginia Street, East, Suite 2400 Charleston, WV 25301 21 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 Dated: December 24, 2019 24 25 26 No. 206. 27

28